

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,332	11/12/2003	Knut Heyden	85934.000032	6030
23387 Stephen B. Sala	7590 08/09/2007		EXAM	INER
Harter, Secrest	& Emery LLP		KATCHEVES, BASIL S	
1600 Bausch & Rochester, NY			ART UNIT	, PAPER NUMBER
		3635		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

· · · · · ·		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/706,332	HEYDEN ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Basil Katcheves	3635		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address		
	, •	VIC CET TO EVOIDE A MONT	FLICA OR THURTY (OA) RAYO		
WHIC - External after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply but will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for a cause the application to become ABANDO	ION. e timely filed rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133)		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 M	ay 2007.			
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 2-5 and 8-10 is/are pending in the app	olication.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	wn from consideration.			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
	Claim(s) <u>2-5,8-10</u> is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•			
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.			
Applicati	ion Papers				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the	ne Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	• •		
44	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		•		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Off	ice Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).		
-7.	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		cation No.		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior				
	application from the International Bureau		Ç		
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rece	ived.		
		·			
AAA- 1	44-)				
Attachmen	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) 🗖 1-4	on (DTO 440)		
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)			
3) 🔲 Inform Page	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Inform	al Patent Application		
, ape		6)			

Art Unit: 3635

DETAILED ACTION

The applicant has amended claims 2-5 and 8 and added claims 9 and 10. Pending claims 2-5 and 8-10 are examined below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 2-4, 8, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,423,147 to Dupuy.

Regarding claim 9, Dupuy discloses a weather seal comprising a base body (fig. 1: 10) made of a first elastomeric material and having a sealing portion (14) and a fastening portion (30), an adhesion body (18) made of a second elastomeric material having a cellular structure (column 5, lines 6-11), the fastening portion having a fastening lip (28) spaced apart from the sealing portion by a gap (fig. 1: gap where number 21 is located), the fastening lip has a first side facing the gap (near 26) and a second side (right of 28, 30) turned away from the gap, the adhesion body at the second side of the lip and having a contact surface protruding from the fasting plane (area of 28, 30) and an adhesive (16) on the contact surface (20).

Regarding claim 10, Dupuy discloses the seal as inherently capable of sealing a vehicle, door, window, or roof.

Art Unit: 3635

Regarding claims 2 and 3, Dupuy discloses the use of thermoplastic elastomeric materials (columns 3, line 59- column 4, line 10 and column 5, lines 6-11).

Regarding claim 4, Dupuy discloses the adhesive as tack (column 4, line 9).

Regarding claim 8, Dupuy discloses the sealing portion as being a hollow chamber (32).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,423,147 to Dupuy in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,449,544 to Ogawa et al.

Regarding claim 5, Dupuy does not disclose the use of a peel off film on the adhesive. Ogawa discloses the use of a peel off film on a strip of molding. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Dupuy by adding a peel off film, as disclosed by Ogawa, in order to transport the adhesive and keep it intact until ready to use.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 5/25/07 have been fully considered but are moot under new grounds of rejections necessitated by the applicant's amendment.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Basil Katcheves whose telephone number is (571) 272-6846. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 am to 4:00 pm.

Art Unit: 3635

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman, can be reached at (571) 272-6842.

BK

Basil Katcheves

8/6/07

Primary Examiner AU 3635